Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental							
LRB Number 11-2114/2	Introduction Number AB-0410							
Description Sobriety checkpoints and granting rule-making authority								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Reversible Decrease Existing Reversible Decrease Reversible Decrease New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Permissive Mandatory Permissive Permission Permissive Pe	ase Existing enues to absorb within agency's budget to absorb within agency's budget Yes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties Counties Counties Counties Districts Districts							
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date							
DOT/ Zachary Wyatt (608) 266-0403	Stephanie LaSage (608) 267-3703 12/16/201							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 12/16/2011

LRB Number 11-2114/2	Introduction Number	AB-0410	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
Sobriety checkpoints and granting rule-making authority								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

AB-410 would require the Department of Transportation (DOT) to identify three counties to participate in the pilot program. DOT would further be required to promulgate rules governing how checkpoints are conducted. Finally, DOT would be required to secure federal funding for this pilot program.

Conducting a full-scale sobriety checkpoint requires, at a minimum, 10 officers working for 4 hours. The use of federal funds to reimburse officer activity necessitates that the officers are working on overtime enforcement. Assuming 10 officers, working for 4 hours at a wage rate of \$40 per hour, a checkpoint can be staffed for \$1,600. There may be other incidental expenses depending on the rules promulgated by DOT. For our purposes, let us assume each checkpoint would cost \$2,000.

DOT, through its Bureau of Transportation Safety, manages funds provided by the federal National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. These funds are granted to local law enforcement agencies to conduct specific enforcement activities. Sobriety checkpoints are an activity typically paid for with these funds in states where they are permitted. Assuming usage of these funds comports with the intentions of AB-410, there are funds already available for a pilot program.

Thus, the fiscal effect for three checkpoints would be \$6,000, and could be covered by existing federal funds.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The bill requires the Department to promulgate administrative rules establishing the pilot program. Rule-making is estimated to take 300 hours at \$50/hour, salary and fringe benefits, for an estimated cost of \$15,000. The bill also requires the Department to apply for federal grant money, estimated to take 20 hours at \$30/hour, salary and fringe benefits, or \$600.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB Number 11	-2114/2	Intro	duction Num	nber	AB-0410		
Description Sobriety checkpoints and	d granting rule-maki	ng authority					
I. One-time Costs or Re annualized fiscal effect	evenue Impacts for		· Local Governm	nent (do	not include in		
	•						
The fiscal effect for three funds. The cost to promu \$600, respectively.							
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
			Increased Costs	3	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Cate	gory						
State Operations - Sal	aries and Fringes		\$		\$		
(FTE Position Change	s)						
State Operations - Oth	er Costs						
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or 0	Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs	TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$		
B. State Costs by Sour	ce of Funds						
GPR		·					
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
III. State Revenues - Corevenues (e.g., tax incr				or decrea	ise state		
			Increased Rev	,	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes			\$		\$		
GPR Earned							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Reve	nues		\$		\$		
	NET ANNU	ALIZED FISC	AL IMPACT				
			<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$		\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$		\$		
			-				
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized	Signature		Date		
DOT/ Zachary Wyatt (60	8) 266-0403	Stephanie La	tephanie LaSage (608) 267-3703 12/16/2011				